

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

MARK DOBRONSKI,

Case No. 23-cv-11412

Plaintiff,

v.

Hon. Sean F. Cox
United States District Court Judge

FIRST AMERICAN HOME WARRANTRY
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**ORDER DECLINING TO EXERCISE SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER
STATE-LAW CLAIMS**

Acting *pro se*, Plaintiff filed this federal action against Defendants based upon federal-question jurisdiction. Plaintiff also asks the Court to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over his state-law claims.

This Court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over a claim that “raises a novel or complex issue of state law” or that “substantially predominates over the claim or claims over which the district court has original jurisdiction.” 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c). This Court’s decision to decline supplemental jurisdiction is reviewed for abuse of discretion. *Soliday v. Miami County*, 55 F.3d 1158, 1164 (6th Cir. 1995).

Here, Plaintiff’s state-law claims may raise novel or complex issues of state law. Further, the potential for jury confusion in this case would be great if Plaintiff’s federal claims were presented to a jury along with Plaintiff’s state-law claims. The potential for jury confusion is yet another reason for this Court to decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state-law claims.

Accordingly, the Court **DECLINES TO EXERCISE SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION** over any state-law claims in this action. As such, the Court **DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** Counts IX, X, XI, and XII of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 24, 2024

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge

I hereby certify that on January 24, 2024, the document above was served on counsel and/or the parties of record via electronic means and/or First Class Mail.

s/Jennifer McCoy

Case Manager